**DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-31**

| **Decision/****Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Deadline & Status****(Oct. 2018)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **Opening and Administrative Arrangements** |  |
| SCUFN31/01 |  | In the application of RoP 2.5, **K. Dobrolyubova** was considered as stepping down from SCUFN full Membership (missing 2 consecutive meetings). **IOC Secretariat** to prepare a call for SCUFN Membership vacancy for 1 IOC representative with the aim to select upcoming Member **by March 2019**, so new nominee can participate in the preparation of, and in the SCUFN32 meeting (2019). | Decision**Dec. 2018** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **2** | **Introduction by SCUFN Chair – SCUFN ROPs - Approval of Agenda** |  |
| SCUFN31/02 |  | **SCUFN Chair** to report at the next GCC meeting in Nov. that a sentence aiming to encourage the provision of bathymetric data by proposers has been incorporated in the new proposed Edition of B-6 which is submitted to the GGC for endorsement.  | **Nov. 2018** |
| SCUFN31/03 |  | **SCUFN** approved the agenda and timetable | Decision |
| SCUFN31/04 |  | **SCUFN Members** endorsed the amendment to Art. 2.8 of SCUFN TORs and ROPs (deadline for submission is 60 days prior to SCUFN meetings). | Decision |
| SCUFN31/05.1 |  | **SCUFN Sec.** to submit an amendment of SCUFN TORs and ROPs for approval to GGC iaw with Art. 9 of the GGC TORs, copy to IOC Sec. | **End of October 2018****(Done)** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
|  | **3.1** | **Review of Actions from SCUFN-30 and transfer to the relevant agenda items** |  |
| SCUFN31/05.2(former SCUFN30/10&11 and SCUFN29/69&70) |  | In the absence of a response from Anamar, proposals for Doña Idelisa Passage and [Las Jorobadas Passage](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Dominican_Republic_ANAMAR/02_English_Spanish_UFNproposal%20form_%20Jorobadas%20Passage.pdf), are NOT ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/06 |  | Following concerns raised by NZGB in Doc. SCUFN31-03.1C, **Kevin** to draft a short procedure for SCUFN Members so they can edit and make editorial changes into the GEBCO Gazetteer as appropriate, while ensuring a good level of quality assurance (history of changes, limitations, formal report and changes approval at following plenary SCUFN meetings, etc.) | By correspondence (**1st draft Jan 2019** for circulation to SCUFN Members), then approval at**SCUFN32** |
| ­SCUFN31/07(former SCUFN30/08&29/13)  |  | **Roberta/SCUFN Chair** to pursue the creation of a repository of typical cases (“cook book”) aiming to help for the consistency of the decision making process within SCUFN, according to the presentation given at SCUFN31* Subgroup to define the list of content of the repository of typical cases
* Approval by SCUFN Members
* List of typical cases as known and template to solve them (title, example, decision made, recommendation)
* Approval by SCUFN Members and then submission draft «repository» to SCUFN32
 | **By end of Dec 2018****By end Feb 2019****By June 2019****By Aug 2019** |
| SCUFN31/08 (Former SCUFN30/106) |  | **SCUFN Generic Term Subgroup** (Yas, Han, Kevin, Trent, Roberta) to prepare a strawman paper proposing a general strategy and possible guidelines defining the optimal horizontal resolution between undersea features that are eligible for naming. (Aim: clutter reduction, inflation, consistency of naming with associated features, better management, scale-dependent feature naming, etc.) | First draft to be discussed at **SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/09(Former SCUFN30/121) |  | **SCUFN Members** to consider the possibility of upgrading the quality of the geometry of existing major undersea features of the GEBCO Gazetteer during intersessional period (10 features /year/member), using the EDIT mode (See also section 3.3 of Annex B to Doc. SCUFN31-07.2B). | Report at **SCUFN32** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
|  | **4.1** | **From Ascension Island Government** |  |
| SCUFN31/10 |  | Proposal for Young Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED. | DecisionEmail sent on 23 Oct. to Ascension |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.2** | **From USA, Center for Coastal & Ocean Mapping/Joint Hydrographic Center, University of New Hampshire** |  |
| SCUFN31/11 |  | Proposal for Savaqatigiik Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.3** | **From Costa Rica, Comisión Nacional de Nomenclatura** |  |
| SCUFN31/12 |  | Proposal for Cordillera Submarina Volcanica del Coco is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.4** | **From New Zealand, New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)**  |  |
| SCUFN31/13 |  | Proposal for Graveyard Knolls is ACCEPTED, with a note in this region there are other named features located within the polygon, which are not in the GEBCO Gazetteer.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/14 |  | Proposal for Herekino Bank is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/15 |  | Proposal for Akaroa Valleys was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/16 |  | Proposal for Bligh Valley was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/17 |  | Proposal for Bounty Shelf was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/18 |  | Proposal for Cathedral Banks was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/19 |  | Proposal for Cook Fracture Zone was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/20 |  | Proposal for Hikurua / de Surville Canyon was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/21 |  | Proposal for North Ritchie Trough was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/22 |  | Proposal for Papanui Canyon was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/23 |  | Proposal for Pārengarenga Canyons was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/24 |  | Proposal for Pickersgill Ridge was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/25 |  | Proposal for South Ritchie Trough was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/26 |  | Proposal for Viti Canyons was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/27 |  | Proposal for West Ngātoro Knolls was considered under the fast-track procedure and is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.5** | **From USA, NOAA** |  |
| SCUFN31/28 |  | The 44 proposals by US/NOAA are NOT ACCEPTED, as they do not meet the minimum standards for proposals as given in B-6 (cross profiles, etc.).Noting the work in progress in ACUF, **SCUFN Chair/Trent** to inform the proposer and recommend a way forward for further submission at SCUFN32. | Decision**SCUFN32** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.6** | **From Japan, Japanese Committee on Undersea Feature Names (JCUFN)** |  |
| SCUFN31/29 |  | Proposal for Higashi-Mokusei Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/30 |  | Proposal for Minami-Mokusei Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/31 |  | Proposal for Oki-no-Tori Shima Hill is kept as PENDING with a new specific term consistent with other names surrounding the area to be proposed.  | **SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/32 |  | Proposal for Kita-Tennosei Seamount is ACCEPTED . | Decision |
| SCUFN31/33 |  | Proposal for Tenkai Escarpment is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/34 |  | Proposal for Tenkai Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/35 |  | Proposal for Kaimei Escarpment is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/36 |  | Proposal for Meiosei Rise is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/37 |  | Proposal for Shisonohoshi Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/38 |  | Proposal for Soeboshi Escarpment is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/39 |  | Proposal for Kensakiboshi Escarpment is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/40 |  | Proposal for Hokushin Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/41 |  | Proposal for Ohitsujiza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/42 |  | Proposal for Oushiza Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the South, provided that it remains a seamount.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/43 |  | Proposal for Futagoza [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamounts and the polygon modified to include the extension.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/44 |  | Proposal for Shishiza Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the South.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/45 |  | Proposal for Otomeza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/46 |  | Proposal for Tenbinza Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the South.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/47 |  | Proposal for Iteza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/48 |  | Proposal for Yagiza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/49 |  | Proposal for Mizugameza [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Hill, and the polygon cut off in the North | Decision |
| SCUFN31/50 |  | Proposal for Kujiraza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/51 |  | Proposal for Koinuza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/52 |  | Proposal for Oinuza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/53 |  | Proposal for Tomoza Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/54 |  | Proposal for Rashinbanza Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/55 |  | Proposal for Karasuza [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed as a Hill, and the proposal slightly modified (max. depth).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/56 |  | Proposal for Usagiza Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/57 |  | Proposal for Hoburehoshi [~~Terrace~~] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Basin….…noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Dashu Basin, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), **CCUFN** and **JCUFN** to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting.(See also decision SCUFN31/160). | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/58 |  | Proposal for Nansei-Kensakiboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/59 |  | Proposal for Akaboshi Spur is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/60 |  | Proposal for Kita-Kazahayahoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/61 |  | Proposal for Sekitanbukuro Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon limited to the North.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/62 |  | Proposal for Nishi-Yanbaruhoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/63 |  | Proposal for Habunohoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/64 |  | Proposal for Habunohoshi Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/65 |  | Proposal for Kita-Tochinohoshi Seamounts is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/66 |  | Proposal for the *new* Nishi-Tennosei Seamount is ACCEPTED **SCUFN Secretary** to delete the *former* Nishi-Tennosei Seamount (small feature located in the far west of Tennosei Seamount) to be deleted from the GEBCO Gazetteer Database.  | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/67 |  | Proposal for Magamo Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/68 |  | Proposal for Yoshigamo Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/69 |  | Proposal for Suzugamo Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/70 |  | Proposal for Kurogamo Seamounts is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/71 |  | Proposal for Umiushi Spur is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/72 |  | Proposal for Naka-Yatagarasu Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/73 |  | Proposal for Ko-Yatagarasu [~~Guyot~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamount. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/74 |  | Proposal for O-Yatagarasu Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/75 |  | Proposal for Matsumaru Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/76 |  | Proposal for Tsukamoto Guyot is ACCEPTED with correction to be made in the proposal form for the 3D map. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/77 |  | Proposal for Aoki Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/78 |  | Proposal for Ganeko Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/79 |  | Proposal for Magoshichi-no-Hoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/80 |  | Proposal for Mizutani Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/81 |  | Proposal for O-Hitode Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/82 |  | Proposal for Ko-Hitode Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/83 |  | Proposal for Yabe Seamounts is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to put Marcus-Wake Seamount Chain as the associated feature. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/84 |  | Proposal for O-Unoashi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/85 |  | Proposal for Ko-Unoashi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/86 |  | Proposal for Kozure-Unoashi Seamounts is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/87 |  | Proposal for Utashiro Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/88 |  | Proposal for Akiyoshi Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/89 |  | Proposal for Ono [~~Ridge~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Seamount. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/90 |  | Proposal for Kishindo Seamounts is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to include profiles crossing both features and the inclusion of the associated feature. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/91 |  | Proposal for Honda Guyot is ACCEPTED with the proposal form to be slightly modified to include the associated feature. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/92 |  | Proposal for Bateira Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/93 |  | Proposal for Shittaka Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/94 |  | Proposal for Hanzawa Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/95 |  | Proposal for Chin-anago Spur is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/96 |  | Proposal for Tanakadate Seamounts is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/97 |  | Proposal for Nakano Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/98 |  | Proposal for Amefurashi Spur is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/99 |  | Proposal for Ryugunotsukai Spur is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/100 |  | Proposal for Keishin Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/101 |  | Proposal for O-Hakucho-no-Tamago Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/102 |  | Proposal for Ko-Hakucho-no-Tamago Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/103 |  | Proposal for Fukuro-unagi Spur is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/104 |  | Proposal for Chochin-anko Spur is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.7** | **From the Republic of Korea, KHOA** |  |
| SCUFN31/105 |  | Proposal for KIOST Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/106 |  | Proposal for Haedal Seamounts is ACCEPTED, but the proposer is encourage to consult with the NZGB, since this feature is located within the Ross Sea.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/107 |  | Proposal for Uljin [~~Canyon~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Valley. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/108 |  | **KHOA** to provide the corresponding shp files with geographic coordinates. | **Dec. 2018** |
|  | **4.8** | **From the Republic of Palau** |  |
| SCUFN31/109 |  | Proposal for Baungor Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/110 |  | Proposal for Bsall Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/111 |  | Proposal for Berdebed Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/112 |  | Proposal for Besodech Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/113 |  | Proposal for Bsukel Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/114 |  | Proposal for Chedui Basin is ACCEPTED, with modification of the polygon to include the basin located to the southwest. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/115 |  | Proposal for Chelbesoi Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/116 |  | Proposal for Chelbuil Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/117 |  | Proposal for Chetitel Basin is ACCEPTED with extension of the polygon in the SW region to include the proposed Merebas Basin, as one single feature.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/118 |  | Proposal for Katuu Tiau Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/119 |  | Proposal for Mokas Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/120 |  | Proposal for Merebas Basin is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/121 |  | Proposal for Ochaieo Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/122 |  | Proposal for Yaus Basin is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/123 |  | Proposal for Belochel Ridge is ACCEPTED  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/124 |  | Proposal for Biid Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/125 |  | Proposal for Charmbedel Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/126 |  | Proposal for Charmuderenges Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/127 |  | Proposal for Chebacheb Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/128 |  | Proposal for Chermelachull Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/129 |  | Proposal for Chesisebasech [~~Ridge~~] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Seamount and a new specific term to be modified accordingly by the proposer[[1]](#footnote-1).  | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/130 |  | Proposal for Chesisebangiau Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/131 |  | Proposal for Chersoches Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/132 |  | Proposal for Kedam Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/133 |  | Proposal for Dudek Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/134 |  | Proposal for Sechosech Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/135 |  | **SCUFN Generic Term Subgroup** (Yas, Han, Kevin, Trent, Roberta) to propose amendments to the definitions of RIDGE, SEAMOUNT, CANYON vs VALLEY providing more geometric criteria. Subsequent information to be provided to the UFNPT. | **Deadline for submission proposals to SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/136 |  | Proposal for Laiib Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/137 |  | Proposal for Chesisualik Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/138 |  | Proposal for Ulerratel Ridge is ACCEPTED, with the Reason for Choice to be updated for avoiding duplication with Reason for Choice for Uek Ridge (Slaty-Legged Crake twice).  | Decision**Dec. 2018** |
| SCUFN31/139 |  | Proposal for Uek Ridge is ACCEPTED, with the Reason for Choice to be updated for avoiding duplication with Reason for Choice for Uek Ridge (Slaty-Legged Crake twice).  | Decision**Dec. 2018** |
| SCUFN31/140 |  | Proposal for Roischesar Peak is ACCEPTED, with Feature Description field to be completed, and a polygon to be provided.  | Decision**Dec. 2018** |
| SCUFN31/141 |  | Proposal for Roisersuul Peak is kept as PENDING with Feature Description field to be completed, and cross section to be moved to the right peak (on the West of the current cross-section). | Decision**Dec. 2018** |
| SCUFN31/142 |  | Proposal for Malk Peak is NOT ACCEPTED (minor feature, generic term not appropriate).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/143 |  | Proposal for Eldoech Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be enlarged to the West and South part, and the central point to be included in the shp files (a primary geometry should be included in any cases – See [list of allowed geometries](https://www.iho.int/mtg_docs/com_wg/SCUFN/SCUFN_Misc/Feature_Geometries.xls) and B-6 Appendix A, section 2.2).  | Decision**Dec. 2018** |
| SCUFN31/144 |  | Proposal for Ngellitel Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/145 |  | Proposal for Roismelech [~~Seamount~~] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Ridge and a new specific term modified accordingly by the proposer. | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/146 |  | Proposal for Ngertebechel Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the polygon extended to the West.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/147 |  | Proposal for Chetiruir Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/148 |  | Proposal for Kelbael Seamount is kept as PENDING……noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Qiufen Seamount, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), **CCUFN** and **Palau** to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting. (See also decision SCUFN31/161).  | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/149 |  | Proposal for Roisemiangel Seamount is kept as PENDING……noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Hanlu Seamount, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), **CCUFN** and **Palau** to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting.(See also decision SCUFN31/161). | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/150 |  | **SCUFN** noted that proposals from Palau missed larger scale maps, small scale maps showing the relationship with some land features, colour pattern on graphics depicting the bathymetry, and annotated contour lines, as well as the description of the shape of proposed features. | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.9** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN31/151 |  | Proposal for Penxi [~~Ridge~~] is kept as PENDING, with the generic term changed to Seamount Province, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Viet Nam) iaw B-6, Art. III.D.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/152 |  | Proposals #4 (Wangheng Hill) to #10 (Zhanchuan Hill) are kept as PENDING, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Malaysia, and other coastal States) iaw B-6, Art. III.D.  | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/153 |  | Proposal for Longbei Seamount is kept PENDING, with the proposal form modified to display Longtou Seamount and under the conditions that Longtou Seamount is submitted at SCUFN32 (Longtou Seamount is the central point of the cardinal points used for the specific term.  | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/154 |  | Proposal for Longnan Seamount is kept as PENDING, with the proposal form modified to display Longtou Seamount and under the conditions that Longtou Seamount is submitted at SCUFN32 (Longtou Seamount is the central point of the cardinal points used for the specific term. | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/155 |  | Proposal for Zhongnan Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to be modified and completed for the Reason for Choice.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/156 |  | Proposal for Shenhu [~~Canyons~~] is ACCEPTED with generic term changed to Canyon Province, and proposal form to be modified to provide the location of Shenhu Shoal.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/157 |  | Proposal for Qinjiushao Hill is kept as PENDING, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Philippines) iaw B-6, Art III.D, and the proposal to be modified to explain the logical way for the specific term (provision of the Index Map of Famous Scientists). Provision of an addition cross-profile is also requested.  | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/158 |  | Proposal for Dushi Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/159 |  | Proposal for Xiaoshu Basin is kept as PENDING, waiting for mutual consultation between interested parties (China, Japan, Palau,) iaw B-6, Art. III.D.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/160 |  | Proposal for Dashu Basin is kept as PENDING… …noting that the same feature is proposed to be named as Hoburehoshi Basin, in application of B-6 (Art. I.E), **CCUFN** and **JCUFN** to solve the matter and make a proposal at the next SCUFN meeting.(See also decision SCUFN31/57). | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/161 |  | Proposals #8 (Xiaoshu Basin) to #17 (Xiaoxue Hill) are kept as PENDING, proposer to resubmit with possible new specific term as part of a group (Solar terms) in accordance with the draft guidelines agreed at the meeting. See also decisions SCUFN31/159 (for Xiaoshu Basin), 31/160 (for Dashu Basin), 31/148 (for Qiufen Seamount) and 31/149 (for Hanlu Seamount). | Decision |
| SCUFN31/162 |  | Proposal for Nangong Hill is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/163 |  | Proposal for Juyue Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/164 |  | Proposal for Zichun Hills is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/165 |  | Proposals #21 (Kongzi Seamount) to #33 (Lierlang Ridge) are kept as PENDING, with the specific term to be modified to be in relation to Antarctic research, geography, and features.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/166 |  | Proposal for Zuoqiuming Hill is NOT ACCEPTED (generic term impossible to be determined, insufficient information, specific term not appropriate).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/167 |  | Proposal for Cangjie Hill is NOT ACCEPTED (minor feature).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/168 |  | Proposal for Shennong Seamount is kept as PENDING. In accordance with B-6, Introduction, 2.ii), **SCUFN** invites **CCUFN** to consider the possibility of changing the name (such as “Hat Ridge”) already known for this feature in international peer-review scientific publications.  | Decision**SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/169 |  | Proposal for Gande Deep is NOT ACCEPTED (minor feature).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/170 |  | Proposal for Tianlong Seamounts is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/171 |  | Proposal for Longdeng Hill is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/172 |  | Proposal for Wenwang [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Ridge, and polygon to be extended to Danfu Hill in one feature.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/173 |  | Proposal for Danfu Hill is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/174 |  | Proposal for Futian Basin is NOT ACCEPTED (already as part of the abyssal plain).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/175 |  | Proposal for Datian Basin is NOT ACCEPTED (already as part of the abyssal plain).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/176 |  | Proposal for Fengming Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the proposal form to be modified for the Reason of Choice (peacock) and Associated Features to be indicated.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/177 |  | Proposals #45 (Zigong Seamount) to #72 (Siqin Knoll), excepted #52 (Ciluo Guyots)1 and # 60 (Yuangu Knoll)[[2]](#footnote-2), are kept as PENDING and put in the reserve list, with the specific terms to be revised iaw additional guidance about the grouping of specific categories, and to be in relation with marine sciences.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/178 |  | **SCUFN** developed and agreed on provisional guidance in addition to B-6, II.A.6 depicting the way of grouping specific (term) categories of features.**IHO Sec.** to consult the GGC Secretary for the inclusion of this paragraph, as a Revised version of the proposed Ed. 4.2.0 of B-6 submitted to the endorsement of GGC at GGC35. | Decision**28 Oct. 2018 for GGC35 (Done)** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.10** | **From Brazil, DHN** |  |
| SCUFN31/179 |  | Proposal for Bigarella Seamount is ACCEPTED (**DHN** to provide the short text for Reason for Choice to SCUFN Secretary).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/180 |  | Proposal for Bigarella Hill is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/181 |  | Proposal for Japaratuba Canyon is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/182 |  | Proposal for Real Canyon is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/183 |  | Proposal for Vaza-Barris [~~Province~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Canyons.  | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4.11** | **From Philippines, NAMRIA** |  |
| SCUFN31/184 |  | Proposal for Katmon Hill is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Cuiquiao Hill at SCUFN29).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/185 |  | Proposal for Isabela Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Tianbao Seamount at SCUFN29).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/186 |  | Proposal for Yakal Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/187 |  | Proposal for Banaba Seamount is kept as PENDING (Application of B-6, I.E, see Decisions SCUFN29/59 and SCUFN29/129).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/188 |  | Proposal for Mayapis Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Jujiu Seamounts at SCUFN29).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/189 |  | Proposal for Kalantas Seamount is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/190 |  | Proposal for Mahogany Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED (already named Jinghao Seamount at SCUFN29).  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/191 |  | Proposal for Bicol Saddle is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/192 |  | Proposal for Bicol [~~Shelf~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Slope and the polygon slighted modified.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/193 |  | Proposal for East Luzon Trough is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be extended to the North.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/194 |  | Proposal for Isabela Ridge is ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/195 |  | Proposal for Molave [~~Saddle~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Gap.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/196 |  | Proposal for Molave [~~Spur~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed as a Rise.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/197 |  | Proposal for Narra Saddle is NOT ACCEPTED.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/198 |  | Proposal for Narra Spur is ACCEPTED, with the polygon to be limited in the SE part.  | Decision |
| SCUFN31/199 |  | Proposal for Palanan Saddle is NOT ACCEPTED (considered as an extension of East Luzon Trough).  | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 | **Liaison with Other Geographical Name Bodies** |  |
|  | **5.1** | **Advisory Committee on Undersea Features (ACUF) of the US Board on Geographical Names.** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.2** | **Undersea Names Committee of the New Zealand Geographic Board (NZGB)** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.3** | **Activities of Marine Regions of interest to SCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN31/200 |  | **Marine Regions** to consider the possibility of providing SCUFN Sec. with the list of possible issues (anomalies, discrepancies…) once a year (February) for quality assurance purposes. | **Permanent**  |
| SCUFN31/201 |  | **Marine Regions** to consider the possibility of contributing to the work of the UFN Project Team as subject matter expert. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5.4** | **United Nations Group of Experts on Geographical Names (UNGEGN)** |  |
| SCUFN31/202 |  | **Trent** to consider the possibility of representing the IHO and SCUFN at the next UNGEGN meeting  | **29 April – 3 May 2019** |
| SCUFN31/203 |  | **SCUFN Members and Observers**, to update the List of Naming Authorities which is now available on [www.iho.int](http://www.iho.int) > …> SCUFN > Miscellaneous | **Permanent** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **6** | **Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: IHO-IOC Publication B-6** |  |
|  |  | **Report and Proposals from the Generic Term Sub Group on definitions (Sub group)** |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | **Progress report on the preparation of the draft new Edition of Publication B-6 (Secretary) – Submission to GGC XXXV (See. Doc.GGC35-5-1.1)** |  |
| SCUFN31/204 |  | **IHO Sec.** to issue an IHO CL seeking the approval of IHO Member States on the proposed Ed. 4.2.0 of B-6, after endorsement at GGC35. | **Dec 2018** |
|  |  | **Update of Appendix A of B-6 (Yas Ohara)**  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7** | **Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names** |  |
|  | **7.1A&B** | **Maintenance of the on-line interface to GEBCO Gazetteer database.** |  |
| SCUFN31/205 |  | Noting that funding had been secured by NOAA, but also the impossibility to make significant upgrades for interfacing with scufnsubmission.org and scufnreview.org, **SCUFN** agreed to encourage NOAA to pursue the regular maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer, at least for about 3 years, while KHOA continues to develop an integrated system. |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7.2** | **Maintenance of the GEBCO Gazetteer database (including PENDING names).** |  |
| SCUFN31/206 |  | **SCUFN Members** to consider the recommendations in section 3.3 in Annex B of Doc. SCUFN31-07.2B, as part of former Action SCUFN30/121 (See SCUFN31/09). | **March 2019** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **7.3** | **Interoperability and Prototyping** |  |
| SCUFN31/207 |  | **SCUFN Members** to continue experimenting scufnsubmission.org, scufnreview.org, and the Beta-Gazetteer developed by KHOA and report to Han. | **March 2019** |
| SCUFN31/208 |  | **KHOA** to develop a transition plan (tasks - what, who, when?, including continuity of services offered to current users of the GEBCO Gazetteer, liaison with NOAA) preparing to the commissioning of the Beta-Gazetteer (target date 2021-22) and to submit it to SCUFN at the next meeting for further consideration. | **SCUFN32** |
| SCUFN31/209 |  | **JCUFN/IHO Sec.** to test in operational mode, the integrated system developed by KHOA, for a couple of undersea feature names prior to the next meeting. | **SCUFN32** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **8** | **Undersea Feature Names Project Team (UFNPT)** |  |
|  | **8.1** | **UFNPT Report and Road-map.** |  |
| SCUFN31/210 |  | **SCUFN** endorsed the work plan of the UFNPT presented at SCUFN31. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/211 |  | **UFNPT** to consider the possibility to submit recommendations at HSSC-12 on the way forward for the development of S-100-based solutions for UFN. | **HSSC-12** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **9** | **Any Other Business** |  |
|  | **9.1** | **Introduction to the GEBCO Seabed 2030 Project** |  |
| SCUFN31/212 |  | **Kevin** to maintain liaison with the GEBCO Seabed 2030 Project for SCUFN matters and report at SCUFN meetings on the actions to be considered as part of SCUFN programme of work. | **Permanent** |
|  | **9.2** | **Proposals from CCUFN reviewed under Any Other Business** |  |
| SCUFN31/213 |  | Proposal for Ciluo Guyots is ACCEPTED. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/214 |  | Proposal for Yuangu Knoll is ACCEPTED, polygon to be revised. | Decision |
|  | **9.3** | **Proposal for an experimental procedure for a fairer and more efficient reviewing process of UFN proposals at SCUFN meetings** |  |
| SCUFN31/215 |  | **SCUFN** to experiment the provisional reviewing procedure suggested by the SCUFN Sec. at their next meeting (groups of 10 UFN proposals/country, starting with “green” under scufnreview, rotating cycle) – See Doc. SCUFN31-09.3A | **SCUFN32** |
|  | **10** | **SCUFN Programme of Work – Review of the draft List of Decisions and Actions** |  |
|  | **10.1** | **SCUFN Programme of Work and Draft List of Decisions and Actions from SCUFN31** |  |
| SCUFN31/216 |  | **SCUFN** endorsed the draft SCUFN Work Plan 2019-20 to be submitted to GGC35. | Decision |
| SCUFN31/217 |  | Periodic status report on this list of decisions and actions, to be requested/distributed by the **Secretary** on **30 Dec. 2018, 30 March 2019,** and **30 June 2019.** |  |
|  | **11** | **Dates and Venues for the Next Meetings** |  |
| SCUFN31/218 |  | **SCUFN** welcomed the offer made by Malaysia and China for hosting SCUFN32, and decided to retain Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia (Tentative dates: 5 – 9 August 2019). | Decision |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **12** | **Election of Chair and Vice-Chair** |  |
| SCUFN31/219 |  | **SCUFN Members** elected Dr Hyun-Chul Han [IOC] as Chair and Dr Yasuhiko Ohara [IHO] as Vice-Chair.In accordance with RoP 2.2, **SCUFN Sec.** to report on the results of the election to GGC for endorsement. | Decision**Nov. 2018, GGC35 (Done)** |
|  | **13** | **Conclusion** |  |
| SCUFN31/220 |  | Following on a suggestion made by Dr Hans Werner Schenke in his closing speech, **SCUFN** agreed to add the name of Dr Galina Agapova in the list of reserved specific-terms, for naming an important undersea feature in the future.  | Decision |

1. In order to agree with the protocol of seamount names after Palauan mountains. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. . See decisions SCUFN31/213 and 31/214. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)